is placed only in the first tube, we may calculate from Nichols' equation 5 (9) that in a 50-tube instrument 123 transfers would be applied before the fraction which corresponds to the point of intersection of the curves, *i.e.,* the fraction in the distribution of a twocomponent system which contains equal amounts of each component, leaves the instrument. With a 100 tube instrument 245 transfers would be applied; with a 200-tube instrument, 490 transfers, which cheeks our experimental value in Figure 1. Then if equal amounts of linoleate and linolenate are present in the starting mixtures and if the fractions arc divided at the intersection point, we may ealeulate from the equations of Lancaster *et al.* (7) that each component from the 50-tube instrument would contain 4.5% of the other component as impurity. Each component from the 100-tube instrument would eontain 0.8% of the other component. Greater purity can be achieved by starting with material enriched in the desired component, by discarding the small fractions near the intersection point of the curves, or by using a smaller ratio of upper-to-lower-solvent volumes.

### **Summary**

Acetonitrile-pentane-hexane makes a desirable solvent system for preparation of pure methyl esters because of its immiscibility, selectivity toward unsaturation, low boiling point, stability, and ease of recovery. Since separated esters are removed from the apparatus dissolved in the pentane-hexane layer,

successive batches may be fraetionated without removing the acetonitrile layer from the instrument. Applications have been illustrated for the preparation of methyl linolenate from an 85% linolenate concentrate, methyl linoleate from safflower esters, and methyl araehidonate from pig liver lipids.

This procedure provides a source of "natural" fatty acids with the double bond configuration unchanged, in contrast to those from the conventional bromination-debromination process. Automation of the process is completed by use of a recording refraetometer which monitors concentration of solutions issuing from the extractor. Resolutions to be anticipated with lesser numbers of extraction tubes than 200 are ealeulated for an equal mixture of linoleate and linolenate.

#### ${\tt REFERENCE}$

- 1. Ahrens, E. IK. Jr., and Craig, L. C., J. Biol. Chem., *195,* 299- 310 (1952).
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 2. American Oil Chemists' Society, "Official and Tentative Methods,"<br>
2nd d., Cd 7-58, rev. to 1958, Chicago, 1946-58.<br>
3. Cannon, J. A., Zilch, K. T., and Dutton, H. J., Anal. Chem., 24,<br>
1530–1532 (1952).<br>
4. Craig, L.
- 
- 

[Received June 2, 1959]

# Theory of the Washing Process<sup>1</sup>

W. KLING and H. LANGE, Henkel and Cie. GmbH, Dusseldorf, Germany

**T** HE SOIL usually occurring on the textiles to be washed is a complicated mixture of very different components. For the sake of simplicity, they washed is a complicated mixture of very different components. For the sake of simplicity, they may be subdivided into materials which are liquid at the washing temperature and others which are solid under the same conditions. Regarding the washing process theoretically, it is useful to consider the displacement of both types of soil separately because they are taken away from the textile fibers by quite different mechanisms.

Liquid soil, or as we may call it, oily soil is ehiefly displaced by preferential wetting. It has been shown by microscopic observations that the oil originally spread over the fiber as a thin and nearly uniform layer is pushed together to form spherical droplets after immersion in the washing liquor. From a detailed study of this process it follows that the preferential wetting is governed by the interfacial tension  $\sigma_{AB}$  between the oil and the washing liquor as well as by the difference  $\Delta j$  between the adhesion tensions for the washing liquor/fiber and for the oil/fiber interfaces. If  $\Delta j$  is greater than  $\sigma_{AB}$ , the droplets are spontaneously detached. The work  $A_w$ done by the system during this process is given by the following formula derived by Kling and Koppe (6) :

$$
-A_{\rm W} \simeq F \; (\Delta \rm j - \sigma_{AB}), \tag{1}
$$

where F is the area exempted from the oil.

In most of the practical cases however  $\Delta j$  is smaller than  $\sigma_{AB}$ . The oily droplets attain equilibrium in the form of spherical segments with a definite contact angle. A "residual work of washing"  $A_R$  must then be done to detach the soil completely. For  $A_R$  the following equation has been derived  $(7)$ :

$$
A_R = y \cdot \sigma_{AB} \tag{2}
$$

y is a function of the contact angle, which in turn is correlated to  $\Delta j$  and  $\sigma_{AB}$  by the Young relation. The function is expressed by the following equation:

$$
y = \sqrt[3]{4} - \sqrt[3]{2 - 3x_0 + x_0^3}
$$
 (2a)

where  $x_0 = - \Delta j / \sigma_{AB}$ . The term  $A_R$  as calculated by the equations (2) and (2a) is related to an oil drop of the volume

$$
v = 1/3\sqrt{\pi} \text{ cm}^3
$$

The equations (2) and (2a) permit expression of the effectiveness of a detergent in removing oily soil by physically defined and measurable quantities, *i.e.,*   $\Delta$ j and  $\sigma_{AB}$ . This may be demonstrated by measurements with a model system, namely, a foil made from poly-e-eaprolaetam, liquid paraffin, and aqueous solutions of sodium dodecylsulfate. Figure 1 shows, as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented at the 50th Anniversary Meeting, American Oil Chemists' Society, New Orleans, La., April 20, 1959.



FIG. 1. Interfacial tension  $\sigma_{AB}$ , difference of the adhesion tensions  $\Delta j$ , and residual work of washing  $A_R$  for the system poly-e-eaprolactam foil, liquid paraffin, aqueous sodium dodecyl sulfate solution, as a function of the concentration of the detergent.

functions of the concentration of the detergent, the interfacial tension  $\sigma_{AB}$  measured by the Wilhelmy method, the difference Aj of the adhesion tensions determined by the method described by Guastalla (4), and the residual work of washing  $A_R$  calculated by the equations mentioned before.  $A_R$  is lowered with increasing concentration, indicating the growing washing power of the solution.

 $\rightarrow$ HEORETICAL considerations on the removal of solid soil particles are more difficult. The fundamental question is what forces are effective between the fiber and the soil particles immersed in a washing liquor



FIG. 2. Electrical repulsive energy P<sub>R</sub>, v.d. Waals attractive energy P<sub>A</sub>, and the resultant potential energy P of a soil particle as a function of the distance from the fiber surface, schematically.

and in what manner they are influenced by detergents. London-v.d. Waals attraction and electrical repulsion caused by the mutual interaction of the electrical double layers are of primary importance. By calculating these forces or the corresponding potential energies as functions of the distance between fiber and soil particle by means of the theories derived by Derjaguin and Landau (3) as well as by Verwey and Overbeek (12), one generally obtains a resultant curve that shows a minimum at the shortest distance 8 which is possible and surpassing a maximum at a certain greater distance (Figure 2). Hence the particles have to surpass an energy barrier when they are removed as well as when they are redesposited (8).

From a practical point of view, the most interesting question is in what manner these curves, particularly the heights of the energy barriers for the removal and the redeposition, are influenced by detergents.

By adsorption of anionic detergents the negative interfacial potential  $\psi_0$  washing liquor/fiber and washing liquor/soil is increased. Figure 3 shows, by



FIG. 3. Energy barriers  $E_{50}$  and  $E_{100}$ , to be surpassed at the removal of a soil particle, for interfacial potentials  $\psi_0 = 50 \text{ mV}$ and 100 mV respectively. A spherical soil particle with a diameter of  $10^{-5}$  cm., a total electrolyte concentration of  $10^{-2}$  moles/1, and univalent ions have been assumed in calculating the curves.

using an example calculated with concrete quantities, how the curves are shifted if the potential  $\psi_0$  is raised from  $50$  to  $100$  mV. The energy barrier for removing the soil particle is decreased while that for the redeposition is increased. A favorable influence on the washing process results.

The total electrolyte concentration of the washing liquor also influences the curves. For example, increasing the electrolyte concentration from  $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-1}$ moles/1, causes a lowering of the energy barriers both for the soil removal and for the redeposition and therefore a promotion of these processes (Figure 4).

Finally the hydration shell produced by the detergent adsorbed on the fiber and on the soil particle re-



tions  $c = 10^{-2}$  and  $10^{-1}$  moles/l., respectively, for  $\psi_0 = 100$  mV.

sults in an enlargement of the minimum distance and, as a consequence, in a reduction of the energy barrier the soil particle has to surpass when moving away from the fiber (Figure 5).

For a further development of the theory, certain refinements are necessary, which can only be mentioned but not explained. For example, the fact that the interfacial potentials on the fiber and on the soil usually have different values has to be allowed for. The theory of dispersion forces proposed by London (11) must be modified by recent theories of Casimer and Polder (2) as well as by those of Lifschitz (10). Some corrections of the Gouy-Chapman theory of the electric double layer should be applied. Finally it will be necessary to investigate the properties of the hydration shells, the influence of which has been expressed provisionally by the term  $\delta$ . Such investigations have been started by recent work  $(9)$ 



concerned with the influence of detergents on the stability of hydrophobic sols at high ionic strength.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Adam, N. K., J. Soc. Dyers Colourists, 53, 121-129 (1937).<br>Casimir, H. B. G., and Polder, P., Phys. Rev., 73, 360-372
- $(1948)$ 3. Derjaguin, B., and Landau, L., Acta Physicochim. U.R.S.S., 14,
- 
- 
- 3. Derjaguin, B., and Landau, L., Acta Physicochim. U.R.S.S., 14,  $633-662$  (1941).<br>4. Guastalla, J., and Guastalla, L., Compt. rend., 226, 2054-2056<br>4. Guastalla, J., and Guastalla, L., Compt. rend., 226, 2054-2056<br>229 (
- $(1958)$ .
- 

(1958).<br>
9. Lange, H., paper presented to the Division of Colloid Chemistry,<br>
135th National Meeting, American Chemical Society, Boston, Mass.,<br>
April 8, 1959, to be published.<br>
10. Lifschitz, E. M., Zhur. Eksptl. i Teore

[Received June 25, 1959]

## Preparation of 8t,10t-Octadecadienoic Acid<sup>1</sup>

### S. C. GUPTA and F. A. KUMMEROW, Department of Food Technology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

 $\mathbf{C}$  MIT (1) ISOLATED a conjugated linoleic acid (m.p.  $\sum_{\text{real}}$   $56^\circ$ ) by debromination of a tetrabromostearie acid, which had a melting point of 124°. The latter was isolated by them from the brominated products obtained by brominating the distillate of the fatty acid from castor oil. They assigned a structure of 9,11-octadecadienoic acid to this fatty acid and believed it to be elaidinized.

von Mikush (2) distilled the fatty acids obtained from castor oil according to the method of Scheiber (3) and brominated the distilled fatty acids in petroleum ether at  $-20^\circ$ . From the bromination product he isolated tetrabromostearic acids of different melting points. Upon debromination of one of these tetrabromostearic acids (m.p. 124°) with zinc in ethanol and by crystallization of the resultant product from aqueous ethanol, he isolated an acid which melted at 55.5-56.5°. This acid was assigned a trans-trans structure on the basis of diene and pentadiene values and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This investigation was supported by research grant No. A-1671 from the National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases, U. S. Public Health Services.